# Impact assessment: A new Approach? the implementation of the notion of animal health



Pr. Guy CLAIREAUX, Florian MAUDUIT Université de Bretagne Occidentale

Stéphane LE FLOCH Cedre

Annabelle NICOLAS-KOPEC

Itopf

### ERIKA (1999): a double failure?

### In 2001: -40 %

### **Biomarkers:**

Bioaccumulation
Detoxification
Energetics
Physiology
Histology
Survival
Growth



# There is a need to define a new approach

To improve environmental management, policies and remediation strategies

Assessing the vulnerability of organisms;
Need a "paradigm";

Need metrics;

Translate the concept of human health to fish?

# Human health, a life-course perspective

(Hertzman et al. 2001; Deem et al. 2001)

Health informs about the past (diagnosis);

 Integrative outcome of earlier-life environment, exposures and experience.

Health informs about the future (prognosis);

 sustainability, vulnerability and resilience to daily challenges and changes.

## From human health to fish health

Today's notion of animal health lags behind how health is defined and assessed in human.

Limited to current time;

- Mostly concerned with proximate causes (mono-factorial) of death or disease;
- Fails to account for cumulative effects;
- Fails to include the notions of resilience and vulnerability of populations *i.e.*, poor projection.

#### FishHealth project (funded by Itopf)





#### Impact assessment: Experimental methodology













SCIENCES DE LA MER



6

# **Objectives:**

• Assess the effects of **oil exposure** on three performance traits involved in environmental adaptation (Hypoxia tolerance, temperature susceptibility, swimming performance)



7

#### Fish:

1 200 Dicentrarchus labrax

Initial length : ≈ 10 cm

Initial mass : ≈ 20 g

#### **Stabulation + Tag**

#### **Challenge tests**

- 1. Swimming
- 2. Hypoxia tolerance
- 3. Temperature tolerance

#### **OIL** exposure

- 1. OIL
- 2. Dispersed oil
- 3. Dispersant alone

#### Challenge tests

- 1. Swimming
- 2. Hypoxia tolerance
- 3. Temperature tolerance

### Methodology

6 months

later?



Rapid decrease in water oxygenation (100% -> 20% in 1H)

Followed by a much slower descent (2% air saturation / hour)

-> quickly removed from the experimental arena, identified (tag reading), placed in a fully aerated tank

Corresponding time and oxygenation level recorded 9

### Challenge test: temperature susceptibility

#### Water condition



Rapid water T℃ increase

(acclimation  $T^{\circ} -> 27^{\circ} C$  in 1H)

Followed by a much slower increase (0.5% / hour)



Fish loose their ability to maintain balance

-> quickly removed from the experimental arena, identified, placed in a recovery tank at acclimatation  $T^{\circ}$ 

Corresponding time and T<sup>°</sup>C recorded





Swimming flume : 2 chambers in which the speed of water is controlled (pump + frequency regulator)

One experimental group (n=60) is exercised while the second group is habituated to the chamber

Water velocity is increased progressively (5 cm/sec every 10 min)

Fish exhausted that can't remove themselves from the grid place downstream from the swim chamber

-> taken out of the flume, identified and placed in a recovery tank.

Corresponding time and water speed are recorded 11

**Preliminary conclusions** 

### Just after the exposure period

# Swam 30min less than the control



# Tolerate hypoxia 1 hour less than the control





# **Preliminary conclusions**

Individuals' performance is influenced by test conditions (temperature, body size) and environmental history (laboratory *versus* wild)

- Experimental treatment (oil and oil + dispersant) affected fish swimming ability and tolerance to hypoxia. On the other hand, thermal sensitivity was not affected.
- Dispersant potentiate the effects of oil, just after the exposure
- These effects are reversible

### Work is on going...

- Validate the ecological relevance of challenge tests with higher oil concentrations exposure
- Dose-response assessment

